

Global Bethe lattice consideration of the spin-1 Ising model

A. Z. Akhayan* and N. S. Ananikian†

Yerevan Physics Institute,
Alikhanian Br. str. 2, 375036, Yerevan, Armenia

PACS. 05.50.+q – Lattice theory and statistics: Ising problem.

PACS. 05.70.Fh – Phase transitions: general aspects.

PACS. 64.60.Cn – Order-disorder and statistical mechanics of model systems.

Abstract

The spin-1 Ising model with bilinear and biquadratic exchange interactions and single-ion crystal field is solved on the Bethe lattice using exact recursion equations. The general procedure of critical properties investigation is discussed and full set of phase diagrams are constructed for both positive and negative biquadratic couplings. A comparison with the results of other approximation schemes is done.

*e-mail: akhayan@vxc.yerphi.am

†e-mail: ananikian@vxc.yerphi.am

1 Introduction.

The spin-1 Ising model with most general up-down symmetry, known also as a Blume-Emery-Griffiths (BEG) model has attracted recently a great attention as a simple model with rich and interesting phase structure. It was originally introduced [1] in order to explain the phase separation and superfluidity in the ^3He - ^4He mixtures and latter has been developed to describe another multi-component physical systems, such as methamagnets, liquid crystal mixtures, microemulsions, semiconductor alloys, etc.

The model is defined by Hamiltonian:

$$-\beta\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} s_i s_j + K \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} s_i^2 s_j^2 - \Delta \sum_i s_i^2 \quad (1)$$

where s_i takes the values $\pm 1, 0$ at each lattice site, $\langle ij \rangle$ denotes a summation over all nearest-neighbour pairs, J and K are correspondingly the bilinear and biquadratic interaction constants, Δ is a single-ion crystal field.

The spin-1 Ising model was solved exactly only on two-dimensional honeycomb lattice in a subspace of interacting constants $e^K \cosh J = 1$ [2, 3, 4] (recently such solution has been found for higher spin-S models as well [5, 6, 7]) but its critical properties for positive $J, K > 0$ were well established by different approximation techniques [1, 8, 9, 10]. The most interesting result here was the first occurrence (in theoretical model) of the tricritical point, at which the second order phase transition line (λ -line) turns to the first order one.

The picture is practically not changed for negative bilinear couplings $J < 0$. On the bipartite lattice (i.e. the lattice which can be divided on two sublattices A and B, such that every site belonging to A is surrounded only by sites belonging to B and vice versa) the region $J < 0$ is mapped on the region $J > 0$ by redefining the spin directions on one sublattice. As a result we obtain the same phase diagrams, where only ferromagnetic phase is replaced by antiferromagnetic one. Hence with no loss of generality we can consider only the case $J > 0$.

On the other hand, the negative values of biquadratic coupling K change the situation drastically. The region $K/J < 0$ is now a subject of intensive studying. A new staggered quadrupolar phase (also called antiquadrupolar) was predicted and investigated on the square lattice by means of mean-field approximation (MFA) and by Monte Carlo (MC) simulations [11]. The direct first order transition was found from antiquadrupolar (a) to ferromagnetic (f) phase, but it was not confirmed by the recent MC [12] and cluster variation method (CVM) [13] studies. Now it seems that a and f phases are always separated in two dimension by disordered phase (d) and they meet only at $T = 0$. This direct $a \leftrightarrow f$ transition was however established on three-dimensional cubic lattice [14, 15, 16]. The global MFA analysis [14] on this lattice showed also a number of other remarkable features, such as doubly reentrant behaviour at $0 > K/J > -1$ and new staggered ferrimagnetic phase which appears between a and f phases at $K/J < -1$. Latter investigations [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]

mainly confirmed these results, however a number of contradictions still remain. This makes interesting the further consideration of the model, especially by applying another approximation tools.

In the present paper we consider the solution of the spin-1 Ising model on the Bethe lattice. We review both positive and negative values of biquadratic coupling K and show that, though in main details we reproduce the phase diagrams obtained by other authors, there are some essential differences, concerning the place and order of phase transitions.

The paper is organized as follows. In the Sect. 2 we introduce the model and derive some analytical expressions including the set of exact recursion equations. The procedure of critical properties investigation based on these equations is described in Sect. 3. Resulting phase diagrams are presented and discussed in Sect. 4. Final Sect. 5. is devoted to Conclusion.

2 Model formulation.

The BEG model is characterized by two order parameters, magnetization m and quadrupolar moment q :

$$m = \langle s_i \rangle, \quad q = \langle s_i^2 \rangle \quad (2)$$

However to account the possible two-sublattice structure we need actually four order parameters: $m_{A,B} = \langle s_i \rangle_{A,B}$ and $q_{A,B} = \langle s_i^2 \rangle_{A,B}$, where A, B denotes sublattices. These parameters define the four different phases of the BEG model:

1. disordered phase (d) : $m_A = m_B = 0, \quad q_A = q_B$
2. ferromagnetic phase (f) : $m_A = m_B \neq 0, \quad q_A = q_B$
3. antiquadrupolar phase (a) : $m_A = m_B = 0, \quad q_A \neq q_B$
4. ferrimagnetic phase (i) : $0 \neq m_A \neq m_B \neq 0, \quad q_A \neq q_B$

The Bethe lattice consideration for any model is based, in some way, on one or more exact recursion equations. We construct these equations in the following way [21]. Taking into account the shell structure of Bethe lattice (Fig. 1) one can express the partition function Z of the model on the finite n -shell lattice in the form:

$$Z = \sum_{\{s\}} -\beta \mathcal{H} = \sum_{s_0} \exp(-\Delta s_0^2) [g_n(s_0)]^z \quad (3)$$

where z is a lattice coordination number, s_0 denotes the central spin and $g_n(s_0)$ is a contribution to the partition function of one lattice brunch, starting from the central site with fixed spin value s_0 . The latter is readily connected with $g_{n-1}(s_1)$:

$$g_n(s_0) = \sum_{s_1} \exp(J s_0 s_1 + K s_0^2 s_1^2 - \Delta s_1^2) [g_{n-1}(s_1)]^{z-1} \quad (4)$$

Introducing new notations:

$$x_n = \frac{g_n(+)}{g_n(0)}, \quad y_n = \frac{g_n(-)}{g_n(0)} \quad (5)$$

and summing up over all values of central spin s_0 (i.e. $\pm 1, 0$) we obtain a set of two recursion equations:

$$x_{n+1} = \varphi(x_n, y_n) \quad y_{n+1} = \varphi(y_n, x_n) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \varphi(u, v) = \frac{e^\Delta + e^K(e^J u^{z-1} + e^{-J} v^{z-1})}{e^\Delta + u^{z-1} + v^{z-1}}$$

The values x and y have no direct physical sense, but one can express in terms of x and y all thermodynamic functions of interest. Thus order parameters (2) will be written in the form:

$$m = \frac{x^z - y^z}{e^\Delta + x^z + y^z} \quad (7)$$

$$q = \frac{x^z + y^z}{e^\Delta + x^z + y^z} \quad (8)$$

Using equations (3-6) we can write also the expression for the free energy:

$$-\beta f = \frac{1}{N} \ln Z \quad (9)$$

in the form:

$$-\beta f = \ln [1 + e^{-\Delta}(x^z + y^z)] + \frac{z}{2-z} \ln [1 + e^{-\Delta}(x^{z-1} + y^{z-1})] \quad (10)$$

3 Critical properties investigation.

The equations (6) form an iteration sequence $\{x_n, y_n\}$, which in the thermodynamic limit converges to stable fixed points. Via the expressions (7-10) these points completely define the possible states of the system. The remarkable points of this approach is that non-staggered phases are described by the single fixed points $\{x_n, y_n\} \rightarrow \{x, y\}$, while the staggered phases appear as a 2-cycle doubled points [22]:

$$\{x_n, y_n\} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \{x_A, y_A\} & \text{for odd } n \\ \{x_B, y_B\} & \text{for even } n \end{cases}$$

This property can be explained by the fact that all sites of each individual shell of the Bethe lattice belong to the same sublattice.

Thus, noting from (7) that $m = 0$ means $x = y$, we can, in our case, classify the four mentioned phases as follows:

1. disordered phase (d) : $x = y$, single fixed point;
2. ferromagnetic phase (f) : $x \neq y$, single fixed point;
3. antiquadrupolar phase (a) : $x = y$, period doubling;
4. ferrimagnetic phase (i) : $x \neq y$, period doubling.

It is possible to obtain the full bifurcation picture, including chaos on some hierarchical lattices [23], but not in the bipartite case (which is the Bethe lattice with nearest-neighbour interactions). We have only first period doubling and it is well known from the theory of iteration processes [24] that all our points of interest (i.e. stable fixed points and 2-cycle doubled points) can be found among the solutions of the set of four equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_A = \varphi(x_B, y_B, J, K, \Delta) & \text{(i)} \\ y_A = \varphi(y_B, x_B, J, K, \Delta) & \text{(ii)} \\ x_B = \varphi(x_A, y_A, J, K, \Delta) & \text{(iii)} \\ y_B = \varphi(y_A, x_A, J, K, \Delta) & \text{(iv)} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The physical stable solutions of this set define the pure states of the model. As a matter of fact there is no need to solve (11) in general form. Knowing apriori the possible phases of the model we can separate the solutions of (11) concerning the given phase. Thus the *disordered* phase ($x = y$, $A = B$) can be defined by single equation, either (i) or (ii). This phase is not degenerate. For *ferromagnetic* phase ($x \neq y$, $A = B$) it is enough to consider two equations, namely (i) and (ii) and to exclude the disordered solution. This phase is doubly-degenerate ($m \leftrightarrow -m$) due to obvious symmetry of these two equations under the ($x \leftrightarrow y$) transformation. The *antiquadrupolar* phase ($x = y$, $A \neq B$) again can be found from equations (i) and (iii), after excluding the disordered solution. This phase is two-fold degenerate because of the $A \leftrightarrow B$ symmetry. And only for *ferrimagnetic* phase we have to consider all four equations, but they are simplified by excluding previous solutions. Ferrimagnetic phase is four-fold degenerate since the ($x \leftrightarrow y$) and ($A \leftrightarrow B$) symmetry. Of course, the last two phases are also infinitely degenerate with non-zero residual entropy.

Further procedure can be roughly described by the following steps:

1) The intersections of the solutions, describing the different phases, give us, generally speaking, the points of second order transitions.

2) The presence of several simultaneous solutions at the given K, J, Δ again generally speaking means the co-existing phases and first order transition, which should be located by matching free energies (10) of these phases.

3) The intersections of first and second order critical lines give the critical and multicritical points of several types.

On Fig. 2 we illustrate this procedure on a simple example of appearance of the tricritical point. The disordered and ferromagnetic solutions of (11) are shown on a crystal field Δ versus quadrupolar moment q plot for different fixed temperatures $1/zJ$. In high temperature region the d and f solutions intersect in their stable parts and this leads to a second order transition. At a low temperature the d line does not meet the stable part of f line and first order transition takes place. Tricritical point is observed in an intermediate situation.

4 Phase diagrams

The resulting phase diagrams for spin-1 Ising model are constructed on the Bethe lattice with coordination number $z = 4$. As it is common we plot them as a distinct constant K/J cross-sections, in the temperature $1/zJ$ versus crystal field Δ/zJ . We obtain eight qualitatively different diagrams in the whole range of parameter space (Fig. 3).

The $0 > K/J > -1$ counterpart is characterized by absence of doubled points of the recursion sequence $\{x_n, y_n\}$ and hence represents the non-staggered region of the BEG model with two phases d and f . The first three phase diagrams (Fig. 3a-c) are well known from the pioneer work of Blume, Emery and Griffiths [1]. Our results are quite agree with general picture. The tricritical point doesn't appear for large positive K/J (Fig. 3a), since the second order line, limiting ferromagnetic phase from above, terminates earlier at the critical point E by the first order line limiting f phase from the right. The latter line itself terminates at critical point C , and in higher-temperature segments two subphases of disordered phase with different dense co-exist. For the K/J close to 3 (Fig. 3b) we observe tricritical point T at which second order line (λ -line) turns to the first order one and also the triple point R , where three different first order transitions meet. This structure disappears as K/J goes down, and simple tricritical point is seen (Fig. 3c) for K/J close to 0 (from both positive and negative sides).

Very interesting critical phenomenon, called doubly reentrant behaviour, takes place for the values $-0.35 > K/J > -1$ (Fig. 3d). At a fixed crystal field Δ/zJ the model exhibits the disorder-ferromagnetic-disorder-ferromagnetic sequence of phases as temperature is lowered. The dependence of order parameters versus temperature is shown for this region on Fig. 4. Doubly reentrant structure shrinks to a zero temperature and at $K/J = -1$ the only second order line remains, which reaches the $T = 0$ axes at a point $\Delta = 0$ (Fig. 3e).

Comparing our results with other approximations, we note the following differences. Doubly reentrance appears in our model for lower values of K/J and continue till $K/J = -1$, hence we do not observe the internal critical point structure of ferromagnetic phase. This structure was found by MFA [14] and confirmed by RG studies [18], but it was also not established by CVM [20]. Besides we would like to

mention that nowhere in region $0 > K/J > -1$ we obtain the single reentrant part, though it was found by other authors.

The 2-cycle doubled solutions of (11) appear at $K/J < -1$, and this means the emergence of new phases with broken sublattice symmetry.

At $-3 < K/J < -1$ (Fig. 3f) the *antiquadrupolar* phase is separated from d phase by second order line and from f phase by first order one. These two lines meet with the second order line, separating the d and f phases, at bicritical point B . The *ferrimagnetic* phase lies at the low-temperature region and is separated by the second order line from a phase and by first order line from f phase. These two lines meet with a - f first order line at critical end point E and at point S . The latter point locates at $T = 0, \Delta/zJ = K/J + 1$ and describes the macroscopically degenerated ground state with non-zero residual entropy.

As K/J lowers the point E approaches to the B point and they coincide at $K/J = -3$ in the point A (Fig. 3g), such that there is no direct transition from a to f phase. Thus A is a new multicritical point at which three second order lines and one first order line meet. Note that the first order i - f transitions locate at straight vertical line and this locus $K/J = -3, \Delta/qJ = -2$ corresponds to zero-field 3-state antiferromagnetic Potts model with Hamiltonian:

$$-\beta\mathcal{H} = -2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \delta_{s_i, s_j}, \quad J > 0 \quad (12)$$

At $K/J < -3$ the transitions from i to f phase in high temperature region become of the second order (Fig. 3h). As a result the new tricritical point T' arises inside the ordered region. The multicritical point A turns to the tetracritical point M , at which four second order lines meet with different slopes.

Though in general the last three diagrams are similar to those obtained by MFA, there is one essential difference: in our approach the transitions from a to i phase are of second order (first order in MFA), while the transitions from i to f phase are of first order at least for $K/J \geq -3$ (always second order in MFA). This means in particular that we observe another type of ferrimagnetic phase, which co-exists with f phase and is caused by instability of a phase against the spontaneous magnetization. Such phase was found by CVM [19, 20], but only in high-temperature region, while it is the only ferrimagnetic phase present in our consideration. We would like also to mention the very narrow region of occurrence of ferrimagnetic phase.

5 Conclusion

Using exact solution on the Bethe lattice we have constructed the full set of phase diagrams for spin-1 Ising model for both positive and negative biquadratic coupling K . These diagrams feature all recently found properties of the model, including doubly-reentrant behaviour, staggered quadrupolar and ferrimagnetic phases and

great number of different critical and multicritical points. Thus we can say about the validity of Bethe lattice approximation and summaries some advantages of this method. The quantitative comparison of the results has not been our purpose, but Bethe lattice solution was shown to be more exact than MFA [25, 26]. Besides it is quite easy to use and it provides the analytical expression of all thermodynamic functions of interest, so the complete information about the system under the study can be obtained.

As to the mentioned disagreements, especially in the staggered region, they may be caused by dimensionality effects [15]. The Bethe lattice is effectively infinite dimensional, but one can successfully approximate the real lattices in different dimensions by changing the coordination number (this was shown in particular in the global consideration of the antiferromagnetic Potts model [27]). We have constructed the phase diagrams for the Bethe lattice with coordination number $z = 4$. We do not consider the simplest case $z = 3$ since it leads to qualitatively different phase diagrams, similar to those, which were obtained on two-dimensional lattices (see Introduction). Our preliminary study shows also that phase diagrams change in case of greater coordination number. This question we are going to clarify in our further work.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the grant 211-5291YPI of the German Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, ISF supplementary grant and grant INTAS-93-633. We wish to thank R. Flume, K.A. Oganessyan, N.Sh. Izmailian and E.Sh. Mamasakhlisov for help in work and useful discussions. We are also grateful to International Atomic Energy Agency, UNESCO and personally to Prof. S. Randjbar-Daemi for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, where the part of this work was done.

References

- [1] M. Blume, V. J. Emery and R. B. Griffiths, Phys.Rev. A **4**, 1071 (1971).
- [2] T. Horiguchi, Phys. Lett. A **113**, 425 (1986).
- [3] F. Y. Wu, Phys. Lett. A **116**, 245, (1986).
- [4] A. Rosengren and R. Häggkvist, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 660 (1989)
- [5] A. Lipowski and M. Suzuki, Physica A **193**, 141 (1994)
- [6] N. S. Ananikian and N. Sh. Izmailian, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 6829-6832 (1994)
- [7] T. Horiguchi, Physica A **214**, 452 (1995).
- [8] D. Mukamel and M. Blume, Phys. Rev. A **10**, 619 (1974).
- [9] D. Furman, S. Dattagupta and R. B. Griffiths, Phys.Rev. B **15**, 441 (1977).
- [10] A. N. Berker and M. Wortis, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 4946 (1976).
- [11] M. Tanaka and T. Kawabe, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **54**, 2194, (1985).
- [12] Y. L. Wang, F. Lee and J. D. Kimel, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 8945, (1987).
- [13] A. Rosengren and S. Lapinskas, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 2643-2647 (1992).
- [14] W. Hoston and A. N. Berker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1027-1030 (1991).
- [15] W. Hoston and A. N. Berker, J. Appl. Phys. **70**, 6101-6103 (1991).
- [16] K. Kasono and I. Ono, Z. Phys. B **88**, 205-212 (1992).
K. Kasono and I. Ono, Z. Phys. B **88**, 213-214.
- [17] R. R. Netz, Europhys. Lett. **17** (4), 373-377 (1992).
- [18] R.R. Netz and A. N. Berker, Phys. Rev B **47**, 15019-15022 (1993).
- [19] A. Rosengren and S. Lapinskas, Phys. Rev. Lett **71**, 165-168 (1993).
- [20] S. Lapinskas and A. Rosengren, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 15190-15196 (1994).
- [21] A. R. Avakian, N. S. Ananikian and N. Sh. Izmailian, Phys. Lett. A **150**, 163-165 (1990).
N. S. Ananikian, A. R. Avakian and N. Sh. Izmailian, Physica A **172**, 391-404 (1991).

- [22] A. Z. Akhayan and N. S. Ananikian, Phys. Lett. A **186**, 171-174 (1994).
A. Z. Akhayan and N. S. Ananikian, JETP (Russia) **107**, 196-208 (1995).
- [23] N. S. Ananikian, R. Lusiniants and K. A. Oganessyan, JETP Lett. (Russia) **61**, 482-486 (1995).
- [24] see for exapmple H. G. Schuster, Deterministic chaos (physik-Verlag, Weinheim, 1984).
- [25] A. Z. Akhayan and N. S. Ananikian, J. Phys. A **25**, 3111 (1992).
- [26] F. Peruggi, F di Liberto and G. Monroy, J. Phys. A **16**, 811-828 (1983),
- [27] F. Peruggi, F di Liberto and G. Monroy, Physica A **141**, 151-186 (1987).

Figures captions:

Fig. 1. Bethe lattice with coordination number $z = 3$

Fig. 2. Graphical representation of the solutions of equation (11) on a Δ versus q plot at different temperatures: $1/zJ = 0.4$ (a), $1/zJ = 0.15$ (b), $1/zJ = 0.23$ (c) and constant $K/J = 0$. d and f are respectively the disordered and ferromagnetic phases. Solid lines represent the stable solutions, dashed lines – unstable and non-physical solutions. Thick solid lines show the main behaviour of the system.

Fig. 3. Phase diagrams of the spin-1 Ising model on the Bethe lattice with coordination number $z = 4$ at constant K/J values: 5 (a), 3 (b), -0.1 (c), -0.8 (d), -1 (e), -2.5 (f), -3 (g), -3.5 (h). Disordered **d**, ferromagnetic **f**, antiquadrupolar **a** and ferrimagnetic **i** phases are present. Dashed and solid lines indicate respectively the first-, second order transitions and $C, E, R, T, T'S, B, A, M$ indicate the critical and multicritical points of different types (see in text). Some fair details are shown in the insets.

Fig. 4. The dependence of order parameters m, q versus temperature $1/zJ$ at a constant $\Delta/zJ = 0.099$, $K/J = -0.8$ in the doubly reentrant region. Close, open arrows indicate respectively the places of first-, second order transitions.